arXiv:1611.08682v1 [math.MG] 26 Nov 2016

AFFINE FUNCTIONS ON ALEXANDROV SPACES

CHRISTIAN LANGE AND STEPHAN STADLER

Abstract. We show that every finite-dimensional Alexandrov space X with curvature bounded from below embeds canonically into a product of an Alexandrov space with the same curvature bound and a Euclidean space such that each affine function on X comes from an affine function on the Euclidean space.

1. Introduction

According to a classical result of Toponogov [T64], a complete Riemannian manifold M with nonnegative sectional curvature that contains a straight line is isometric to the metric product of a nonnegatively curved manifold and a line[1]. In this case the Busemann function associated with the straight line is an *affine function*, that is, its restriction to any unit-speed geodesic is affine. For nonnegatively curved Alexandrov spaces the same result was obtained by Milka [Mi67]. In general, a map between geodesic metric spaces is called *affine*, if it sends unit-speed geodesic segments to geodesics of constant speed. In the special case of $Y = \mathbb{R}$ the definition reads as follows.

Definition 1.1. *A function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ on a geodesic metric space X is called* affine*, if the restriction $f \circ \gamma$ to each geodesic $\gamma : [a, b] \to X$ is affine, i.e. it satisfies $(f \circ \gamma)'' = 0$.*

The easiest example of an affine function is the projection onto a Euclidean factor. In [AB05] (see also [I82, Ma99, Ma02] for earlier results) it is shown that under some assumptions a non-constant affine function on a space X with one-sided curvature bound forces the space to split as a product $X = Y \times \mathbb{R}$. The crucial assumption in [AB05] is that the space X does not have boundary in the case of a lower curvature bound or is geodesically complete in the case of an upper curvature bound. Without this assumption one cannot expect such a splitting as the example of a Euclidean ball shows. The best one can hope for is the existence of an isometric embedding of X into the product of some space with a real line [LS07]. In the case of an upper curvature bound the existence of such an embedding is established in [LS07]. In this paper we treat the case of a lower curvature bound. First, we prove the following regularity result.

Theorem 1.2. *A measurable affine function on a finite-dimensional Alexandrov space with curvature bounded from below is Lipschitz continuous.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 51F99, 28C99, 53C20.

[1]For surfaces this theorem was proved by Cohn-Vossen much earlier [C36].

The measurability assumption could be dropped if one of the following open questions due to Lytchak admitted a positive solution (cf. Section 3.1).

Question. Is a dense convex subset of an n-dimensional Alexandrov space with curvature bounded from below measurable with respect to the n-dimensional Hausdorff measure? Does its complement have measure zero?

In dimension two the answers are yes (see also [Ma99] for a proof of Lipschitz continuity of affine functions on Alexandrov surfaces without boundary).

In the following we restrict ourselves to Lipschitz continuous affine functions. As in [LS07] we choose a slightly more general formulation that takes into account all affine functions at once. We denote by p_Y and p_H the natural projections from the product $Y \times \mathbb{R}^m$ to the factors Y and $\mathbb{R}^m$, respectively.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $X \in \mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$, that is an n-dimensional Alexandrov space with curvature bounded from below. Then there exists a geodesic metric space Y and an isometric embedding $i : X \to Y \times \mathbb{R}^m$, $m \leq n$, with the following properties:*

(a) The projection $\pi = p_Y \circ i : X \to Y$, is surjective.
(b) Each Lipschitz continuous affine function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ factors as $f = \hat{f} \circ p_H \circ i$ where $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ is affine.
(c) Each Lipschitz continuous affine function on Y is constant.
(d) Each isometry of X extends uniquely to an isometry of $Y \times \mathbb{R}^m$.
(e) The embedding i is open on an open neighborhood of the regular points in X.

Moreover, the listed properties uniquely determine the dimension m, the space Y and the embedding $i : X \to Y \times \mathbb{R}^m$ up to isometries.

In particular, if $X \in \mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$ admits a non-constant measurable affine function, then $\kappa \leq 0$. Compared to [LS07] we additionally obtain that the embedding i is open on an open and dense subset of X and that the data $i : X \to Y \times \mathbb{R}^m$ are uniquely determined by X and the properties stated in the theorem.

Remark 1.1. Given Theorem 1.4 below and a domain invariance theorem for Alexandrov spaces (cf. [BIP10]), property (e) in Theorem 1.3 actually holds on the set of all interior points of X.

The fibers of the projection $P_H = p_H \circ i : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ consist of points that cannot be separated by Lipschitz continuous affine functions. Each of these fibers is a convex subset of X and projects isometrically into Y. The whole space Y is made up of such pieces. As in [LS07] this picture suggests that Y has the same curvature bound as X. Indeed, using a recent result of Petrunin [Pet15] we prove the following statement.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $X \in \mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$. Then the completion of the space Y constructed in Theorem 1.3 has the same curvature bound as X. More precisely, $\bar{Y} \in \mathrm{Alex}^{n-m}(\kappa)$ with m being given as in Theorem 1.3.*

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is based on the same idea as the proof of the analogous result in [LS07] in the case of an upper curvature bound. If the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 holds, then the metric on Y must satisfy $d(\pi(y),\pi(z)) = \sqrt{d(y,z) - ||F(y) - F(z)||}$ for all $y, z \in X$ where $F = p_H \circ i : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$. In particular, the right hand side of this expression must be a pseudometric on X with Y being the corresponding metric space. To prove 1.3 we first define some Hilbert space H and a natural map $F : X \to H$ that would coincide with $p_H \circ i$ if the theorem were true. Then we show that the term $\sqrt{d(y,z) - ||F(y) - F(z)||}$ defines a pseudometric on X. These steps work similarly as in [LS07] but require different ingredients. For instance, our proof relies on the theory of quasigeodesics [PP95, Pet07]. The dimension of H then turns out to be finite (cf. Lemma 3.11). The proof of the openess statement in Theorem 1.3, (e), is based on the fact that finite-dimensional Alexandrov spaces are locally Euclidean on an open and dense subset and uses the domain invariance theorem. This property, together with Petrunin's result [Pet15], is needed in the proof of Theorem 1.4. The listed ingredients restrict our proof to finite dimensions.

Question. Do the results of this paper continue to hold for infinite-dimensional Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded from below?

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Spaces.** By d we denote the distance in metric spaces without an extra reference to the space. By $B_r(x)$ we denote the open metric ball of radius r around a point x. A *pseudometric* is a metric for which the distance between different points may be zero. Identifying points with pseudo distance equal to zero yields a metric space.

A *geodesic* in a metric space is a length minimizing curve parametrized proportionally to arclength. A metric space is *geodesic* if each pair of points is connected by a geodesic. A subspace of a geodesic space is called *(totally) convex* if it contains every geodesic between pairs of its points. An Alexandrov space with curvature $\geq \kappa$ is a complete, geodesic metric space in which triangles are not thinner than in the two-dimensional model space of constant curvature κ. The Hausdorff dimension of such a space is an integer or infinite. We denote the set of n-dimensional Alexandrov spaces with curvature $\geq \kappa$ by $\mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$ and consider only finite-dimensional spaces. We refer to [BBI01, BGP92] for a more detailed discussion of such spaces. We will need the following estimate that is a direct consequence of the $\mathrm{Alex}(\kappa)$ definition and hyperbolic trigonometry. There exist numbers $A = A(\kappa)$, $r = r(\kappa)$ such that for each triple x_1, x_2, x_3 in a space $X \in \mathrm{Alex}(\kappa)$ with $d(x_i, x_j) \leq r$ the following holds. Let m_i be the midpoint between x_j and x_k $(j \neq i \neq k \neq j)$.

- If $d(x_1, x_2), d(x_1, x_3) \leq 2t$, then $d(m_2, m_3) \geq \frac{1}{2} d(x_2, x_3)(1 - At^2)$.

Note that for $\kappa \geq 0$ we may take $A = 0$.

2.2. **Space of directions and tangent cone.** For two points x and y in an Alexandrov space X we denote a geodesic between x and y by $[xy]$. Such a geodesic always exists,

but is in general not uniquely determined by x and y. We put

$$\Sigma'_x := \{[xy] | y \in X \backslash \{y\}\} / \sim .$$

where the equivalence relation is defined such that $[xy] \sim [xz]$ if and only if $[xy] \subset [xz]$ or $[xz] \subset [xy]$. Measurement of angles defines a metric on Σ'_x [BBI01, §4.3]. The *space of directions* Σ_x is defined to be the metric completion of Σ'_x. The metric cone over the space of directions is called the *tangent cone* of X at x and is denoted by T_x or T_xX. Alternatively, the tangent cone T_x can be defined as pointed Gromov-Hausdorff limit of rescaled versions of X [BGP92, Theorem 7.8.1]. If $X \in \mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$, then $\Sigma_x \in \mathrm{Alex}^{n-1}(1)$ and $T_x \in \mathrm{Alex}^n(0)$. Due to a result of Perelman, every point in a finite-dimensional Alexandrov space has a neighborhood that is pointed homeomorphic to the tangent cone at that point (cf. [BBI01, Thm. 10.10.2]). A point in X is called *regular*, if its tangent cone is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^n$. The set of regular points in X is convex [Pet98, Corollary 1.10] and dense in X [BBI01, Corollary 10.9.13]. The boundary of an Alexandrov space can be defined inductively via the spaces of directions. A point belongs to the boundary if and only if the boundary of its space of directions is non-empty. The *interior* is the complement of the boundary. The interior of an $\mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$ space X is open in X [BGP92, 13.3. b)]. A geodesic $\gamma : [a, b) \to X$ that starts in the interior of X stays in the interior of X [Pet98, Thm. 1.1 A]. In particular, the interior of X is convex.

2.3. **λ-concave functions and quasigeodesics.** Let $X \in \mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$ and U be an open subset *in the interior* of X. A continuous function f on U is called *λ-concave*, if for all unit-speed geodesics γ in U the function

$$f \circ \gamma(t) - \frac{\lambda}{2} t^2$$

is concave (cf. [Pet15, Cor. 3.3.2]). A curve γ in U is called *quasigeodesic*, if for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and any λ-concave function f, the composition $f \circ \gamma$ is λ-concave. Quasigeodesics have nice properties, e.g. they are unit speed curves [Pet07, Thm. 7.3.3] and for any point $x \in X$ and any direction $\xi \in \Sigma_x$ there exists a quasigeodesic with $\gamma(0) = x$, $\gamma^+(0) = \xi$. Here $\gamma^+(0)$ is defined to be the limit in Σ_x of the directions $[x\gamma(t)]$ for $t \searrow 0$ (cf. [Pet07, Thm. A.0.1]). Observe that if $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is affine and continuous in the interior of X, then its restriction to a quasigeodesic in the interior of X is affine.

2.4. **Space of affine functions.** A map $f : X \to Y$ is called *L-Lipschitz* if it satisfies $d(f(x), f(z)) \leq L d(x, z)$ for all $x, z \in X$. The smallest L as above is called the *optimal Lipschitz constant* of f. Let X be a geodesic metric space. As in [LS07] we denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ the vector space of Lipschitz continuous affine functions on X and by $\mathcal{A}(X) = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(X)/\mathrm{Const}(X)$ the quotient vector space by the subspace of constant functions. For $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ we denote by $[f]$ the corresponding element in $\mathcal{A}(X)$. The optimal Lipschitz constant defines a norm on $\mathcal{A}(X)$ with respect to which $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is a Banach space.

The evaluation map $E : X \times X \to \mathcal{A}^*$ is defined by $E(x, y)([f]) = f(y) - f(x)$ and satisfies $||E(x, y)|| \leq d(x, y)$. It maps geodesics to linear intervals of the Banach space

$\mathcal{A}(X)^*$. Observe that $E(x,y)=0$ if and only if the points x and y cannot be separated by a Lipschitz continuous affine function on X. By $E_x : X \to \mathcal{A}^*$ is denoted the restriction $E_x(y)([f]) = f(y) - f(x)$. The following lemma holds.

Lemma 2.1 ([LS07, 3.1])**.** *The evaluation map $E_x : X \to \mathcal{A}^*$ is 1-Lipschitz. For each Lipschitz continuous affine function $f \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ we have $[E_x(\cdot)([f])] = [f]$.*

2.5. **Measures.** The (n-dimensional) Hausdorff measure on $X \in \mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$ will be denoted by μ_n. Recall that for a subset $E \subset X$ we have

$$\mu_n(E) := \lim_{\delta\to 0} \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\operatorname{diam} A_i)^n : \ E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i, \ \operatorname{diam} A_i < \delta\}$$

and that μ_n is a Borel measure on X (by the same proof as in the Euclidean case, cf. [EG92, Section 2.1, Theorem 1, Claim #3]). Since the diameter of a subset of X does not increase when passing to its closure, we can restrict to closed coverings in the above definition. It follows that for every set $A \subset X$ there exists a Borel set B such that $A \subset B$ and $\mu_n(A) = \mu_n(B)$. From the Bishop inequality ([BBI01, Thm 10.6.8]) we get that μ_n takes finite values on compact subsets of X. So μ_n is a Radon measure in the sense of [EG92]. For a subset $A \subset X$ and a point $x \in X$ we define the density of A at the point x by

$$\Theta_A(x) := \lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\mu_n(B_r(x) \cap A)}{\omega_n r^n}$$

if the limit exists. (Here ω_n denotes the volume of the n-dimensional Euclidean unit ball.) The Lebesgue density theorem says that for a μ_n-measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ the density $\Theta_A(x)$ is equal to one for almost every point x in A while it is equal to zero for almost every point in the complement $X - A$ (see [EG92, Ch. 1.7, Cor. 3]). Since there exists a subset $S \subset X$ of singular points such that $X - S$ is locally bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mu_n(S) = 0$ (see [OS94]), the statement remains true for μ_n-measurable sets $A \subset X$.

3. Continuity and Lipschitz continuity

3.1. **Measuring convex dense sets.**

Lemma 3.1. *Let C be a dense convex subset of X. If there exists a point $p \in X$ and a radius $r_0 > 0$, such that $\mu_n(C \cap B_{r_0}(p)) > 0$, then $\mu_n(C \cap B_\rho(q)) = \mu_n(B_\rho(q))$ holds for every $q \in X$ and every $\rho > 0$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $r_0 = 1$. We first treat the case $\kappa \geq 0$. Set $M := \mu_n(B_1(p) \cap C)$. For some $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ choose $y \in B_{\frac{r}{2}}(x) \cap C$. To find measure in $B_r(x) \cap C$ we will pull $B_1(p) \cap C$ towards y by a co-Lipschitz map. Set $\lambda := \frac{r}{2(r+d(p,x)+1)}$ and choose for every point $p' \in B_1(p) \cap C$ a shortest geodesic $[p'y]$ and define y' as the unique point on $[p'y]$ with $d(y,y') = \lambda d(y,p')$. Then the assignment

$p' \mapsto y'$ is λ-co-Lipschitz and since $d(y,y') = d(y,p')\lambda \leq (r + d(p,x) + 1)\lambda < r/2$, it maps $B_1(p)$ into $B_r(x)$. Hence, for $r < 1$ we have

$$\mu_n(B_r(x) \cap C) \geq \lambda^n M \geq \underbrace{\frac{M}{(2d(p,x)+4)^n}}_{=:M_x} r^n. \tag{1}$$

In particular, $\Theta_C(x) \geq M_x/\omega_n > 0$.

Now pick some $q \in X$ and $\rho > 0$. By Borel regularity, there is a measurable subset $\tilde{C} \subset B_\rho(q)$ with $C \cap B_\rho(q) \subset \tilde{C}$ and $\mu_n(C \cap B_\rho(q)) = \mu_n(\tilde{C})$. From above we obtain $\Theta_{\tilde{C}}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in B_\rho(q)$. Thus the Lebesgue density theorem implies $\mu_n(\tilde{C}) = \mu_n(B_\rho(q))$. This finishes the proof for $\kappa \geq 0$. If $\kappa < 0$ we have to replace Equation 1 by

$$\mu_n(B_r(x) \cap C) \geq c^n M$$

where $c = \frac{\sinh(-\kappa\lambda R)}{\sinh(-\kappa R)}$ (see [BBI01, p. 370]) and R is some fixed radius $\geq d(p,x)+1$. Since $\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{c}{r} > 0$ the argument goes through as before. □

3.2. **Continuity.** In this subsection $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ will denote a nonconstant affine function on an Alexandrov space $X \in \mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$ that is measurable with respect to the n-dimensional Hausdorff measure of X. For a value $t \in \mathrm{im}(f)$ we define $F_t := f^{-1}(t)$ to be the *fiber over* t.

Remark 3.1. If $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is an affine function, then the inverse image of every convex set is convex.

Lemma 3.2. *Every fiber F_t is a μ_n-null set.*

Proof. Assume there is a radius $r > 0$ and a point $p \in F_t$ such that $\mu_n(F_t \cap B_r(p)) > 0$. Choose a point q with $f(q) = t' \neq t$. We may assume that $t < t'$. Set $R := r + d(p,q)$. For every $\tau \in (0,1)$ we have a map $\varphi_\tau : B_R(q) \to B_{\tau R}(q)$ which sends a point $z \in B_R(q)$ to a point $\varphi_\tau(z)$ on a shortest geodesic from q to z which lies at distance $\tau d(q,z)$ from q. Note that φ_τ is co-Lipschitz and maps $F_t \cap B_R(q)$ to $F_{(1-\tau)t+\tau t'} \cap B_{\tau R}(q)$. Hence $\mu_n(F_\theta \cap B_R(q)) > 0$ for every $\theta \in (t,t')$. Since the sets $F_\theta \cap B_R(q)$ are all measurable and disjoint, this contradicts $\mu_n(B_R(q)) < \infty$. □

Lemma 3.3. *No fiber of f is dense in X.*

Proof. Assume that there is a fiber F_{t_0} which is dense in X. Then, by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 there is a unique minimal closed interval $[a,b]$ containing t_0 such that $f^{-1}([a,b])$ has full measure in X. By minimality and Lemma 3.1 we conclude that the inverse image of any closed subinterval of $[a,b]$ which contains one of the endpoints but not the value t_0 has full measure in X. This contradicts Lemma 3.2 because it implies that one of the fibers F_a or F_b has full measure in X. □

Corollary 3.4. *Every fiber F_t is closed.*

Proof. If F_{t_0} is not closed for some $t_0 \in \operatorname{im} f$, then the restriction $f|_{\bar{F}_{t_0}}$ is a nonconstant affine function on the closure $\bar{F}_{t_0}$, which is itself an Alexandrov space of dimension $k \leq n$. This contradicts Lemma 3.3 because $f|_{\bar{F}_{t_0}}$ has a dense fiber. □

Corollary 3.5. *The map f is continuous.*

Proof. We will show that $f^{-1}([a,b])$ is closed for every compact interval $[a,b]$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Assume that the closure of $f^{-1}([a,b])$ contains a point x with $f(x) \notin [a,b]$. We may assume $f(x) > b$. Choose a sequence (x_i) in $f^{-1}([a,b])$ with $x_i \to x$. Then the geodesic segments connecting x_i to x intersect the fiber F_b in points y_i. But then $y_i \to x$ and therefore $x \in F_b$ by Corollary 3.4. □

Corollary 3.6 (Local Lipschitz regularity in the interior)**.** *The function f is locally Lipschitz continuous in the interior of X.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 3.3.2 in [Pet15]. □

3.3. **Lipschitz continuity.** Let X be an $\mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$ space and let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a nonconstant locally Lipschitz continuous affine function. Recall that the tangent cone T_x at a point $x \in X$ is an $\mathrm{Alex}^n(0)$ space. The function f has a well-defined directional derivative $d_x f : T_x \to \mathbb{R}$ that is itself a Lipschitz continuous affine function and obeys the same Lipschitz constant as f, that is $||d_x f|| \leq ||f||$. If $v \in \Sigma_x$ is represented by a curve $\gamma : [0,\epsilon) \to X$ with $\gamma(0) = x$ (cf. [Pet07, 2.1]), then $d_x f(v)$ is given by $(f \circ \gamma)'(0)$. If $d_x f$ attains positive values, then it attains its maximum on Σ_x at a unique unit-vector ξ_x [Pet07]. In this case the vector $d_x f(\xi_x)\xi_x$ is called the *gradient of f at x* and is denoted by $\nabla_x f$. Otherwise, one sets $\nabla_x f = o_x \in T_x$. The length $|\nabla_x f|$ is called the *absolute gradient* of f at x. We have $|\nabla_x f| = \max\{0, \sup_{x \neq z} (f(z) - f(x))/d(x,z)\}$. This implies that $|\nabla_x f|$ is lower semi-continuous, i.e. $\liminf |\nabla_{x_i} f| \geq |\nabla_x f|$ for $x_i \to x$ [PP95, Lem. 3.2.1] (cf. [Pl02]). By Corollary 3.6 $|\nabla_x f|$ is finite for points x in the interior of X.

In the following we frequently use that the restriction of f to quasigeodesics in the interior is affine (cf. Section 2.3).

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\gamma : [a,b) \to X$ be a quasigeodesic such that $\gamma((a,b))$ is contained in the interior of X. Then $p(t) = |\nabla_{\gamma(t)} f|$ is constant p_0 on (a,b) and we have $p(a) \leq p_0$.*

Proof. Since the restriction of f to γ is continuous, we have $\liminf_{t \to a} p(t) \geq p(a)$ (cf. Section 2.4). It remains to prove that p is constant on (a,b). The statement is local, therefore we may assume that γ is parameterized by the arclength and has length smaller than $r/2$. Here and below r and A are chosen as in Section 2.1.

We claim that for each $s \in (a,b)$ and sufficiently small t with $|t| \leq \min\{s-a, b-s\}$ one has $p(s)(1 - At^2) \leq p(s+t)$. This claim implies that p is locally Lipschitz and that the differential of p vanishes at each point in (a,b). Hence p has to be constant on (a,b).

In order to prove the claim, choose $t > 0$ such that $B_{5t}(\gamma(s))$ is contained in the interior of X and pick a point $m \in B_t(\gamma(s)) \backslash \{\gamma(s)\}$. We can extend a minimizing geodesic between $\gamma(s-t)$ and m through m to a quasigeodesic of twice the length which

lies completely in the interior of X. Let $z \neq \gamma(s-t)$ be its endpoint. Again using the fact that restrictions of f to quasigeodesics are affine, we obtain $f(m) - f(\gamma(s)) = \frac{1}{2}(f(z) - f(\gamma(s+t))$. On the other hand, we have $d(m, \gamma(s)) \geq \frac{1}{2} d(z, \gamma(s+t))(1 - At^2)$ (see Section 2.1). Hence,

$$\max\left\{0, \frac{f(m) - f(\gamma(s))}{d(m, \gamma(s))}\right\} \leq \max\left\{0, \frac{f(z) - f(\gamma(s+t))}{d(z, \gamma(s+t))(1-At^2)}\right\}.$$

We deduce that $p(s)(1 - At^2) \leq p(s+t)$ and the claim follows. □

As a corollary we obtain Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $x \in X$ be a point in the interior of X and let $z \in X$ be any point. Then $|\nabla_z f| \leq |\nabla_x f|$. In particular, $|\nabla_x f|$ is constant $L_0 < \infty$ in the interior of X and f is Lipschitz continuous with optimal Lipschitz constant L_0.*

Proof. Extend a geodesic from y to x through x to a quasigeodesic that contains x in its interior. Now the claim follows from the preceding lemma. □

In particular, we have the following.

Corollary 3.9. *For any point in the interior of X we have $|\nabla_x(-f)| = |\nabla_x f| = ||f||$.*

Remark 3.2. The analogous statement in [LS07] only holds on a convex and dense subset.

Let x be a point in the interior of X and consider the unit vectors $v^{\pm}$ in T_x with $d_x f(v^{\pm}) = \pm|\nabla_x f|$. Since $d_x f$ is $||f||$-Lipschitz, we must have $d(v^+, v^-) = 2$, that is the concatenation of the homogenous rays $\gamma^{\pm}(t) = tv^{\pm}$ is a line in T_x (cf. [LS07]). By construction $(d_x f \circ \gamma)' = ||f||$ and hence $||d_x f|| = ||f||$. This proves the following statement.

Lemma 3.10. *For any point x in the interior of X the map $\mathcal{A}(X) \to \mathcal{A}(T_x X)$, $[f] \mapsto [d_x f]$ is an isometric embedding.*

For a regular point x in X, the tangent cone $T_x X$ is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^n$. Since $\mathcal{A}(T_x X)$ is a Hilbert space, so is $\mathcal{A}(X)$ by the preceding lemma.

Lemma 3.11. *The Banach space $\mathcal{A}(X)$ of Lipschitz continuous affine functions on X is a Hilbert space of dimension not larger than n.*

4. Normalization

4.1. Basic splitting results.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $X \in \mathrm{Alex}^n(0)$ and $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz continuous affine function. If for some unit-speed line γ in X we have $\infty > (f \circ \gamma)' = ||f|| > 0$ then X splits as $X = Z \times \mathbb{R}$ and f is given by $f(z,t) = ||f||t$.*

Proof. According to the well-known splitting theorem [BBI01, Thm. 10.5.1] the line γ defines a line factor of X, that is we have a splitting $X = Z \times \mathbb{R}$. Let $z \in Z$ be arbitrary and let γ_z be the line through $(z, 0)$ parallel to γ. Since f is Lipschitz continuous, we must have $(f \circ \gamma)' = (f \circ \gamma_z)'$. The condition $\infty > (f \circ \gamma)' = ||f|| > 0$ implies that f is constant on level sets $Z \times \{t_0\}$, $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Now the last statement is clear, too. □

Using the preceding lemma we obtain the following analogue of [LS07, Lemma 4.2]. The proof is the same as in [LS07].

Lemma 4.2. *Let X be an $\mathrm{Alex}^n(0)$ space and $F : X \to \mathbb{R}^k$ be a 1-Lipschitz affine map with coordinates F_i. Assume that there is a point $x \in X$ and unit-speed lines $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k$ through x such that $(F_i \circ \gamma_i)' = 1$. Then X splits as $X = Z \times \mathbb{R}^k$ such that F is the projection onto the $\mathbb{R}^n$ factor.*

4.2. **Normalized maps and their regular points.** We transfer a property of the evaluation map from [LS07] to the setting of $\mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$ spaces.

Definition 4.3. *Let X be an $\mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$ space, H be a Hilbert space and $F : X \to H$ an affine map. We call F* normalized*, if F is 1-Lipschitz and for each unit vector $h \in H$ the affine function $F^h : X \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $F^h(x) = \langle F(x), h\rangle$ satisfies $||F^h|| = 1$.*

An affine function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is normalized if and only if it has norm 1.

Example 4.1. Let $H_0 \subset H$ be a Hilbert subspace. Then the orthogonal projection $p : H \to H_0$ is normalized. If $F : X \to H$ is normalized then so is the composition $p \circ F$.

Using the natural identification between $\mathcal{A}(X)$ and its dual $\mathcal{A}(X)^*$ we deduce from Lemma 2.1:

Lemma 4.4. *For each point $x \in X$ the evaluation map $E_x : X \to \mathcal{A}(X)^*$ is normalized.*

Given an L-Lipschitz continuous affine map $F : X \to H$ to a finite-dimensional Hilbert space H one can define directional differentials $d_xF : T_x \to T_{f(x)} = H$ by setting $d_xF(v) = (F \circ \gamma)'$ for a quasigeodesic γ starting at x in the direction v and extending homogenously. The differentials are again L-Lipschitz and affine.

Definition 4.5. *Let X be an $\mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$ space, H be a Hilbert space and $F : X \to H$ a normalized affine map. We call a point $x \in X$ regular (with respect to F) if T_x has a splitting $T_x = C'_x \times H_x$, with a Hilbert space H_x such that $d_xF : T_x \to H$ is a composition of the projection of T_x to H_x and an isometry.*

An affine function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is normalized if and only if its optimal Lipschitz constant is 1. In this case a point $x \in X$ is regular with respect to f if and only if $|\nabla_x f| = |\nabla_x(-f)| = 1$ as the discussion preceding Lemma 3.10 together with Lemma 4.1 shows. We obtain the following analogue of [LS07, Lemma 4.8].

Lemma 4.6. *Let X be an $\mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$ space and $F : X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ a normalized affine map. Then every point in the interior of X is regular for F.*

Proof. The proof is the same as in [LS07]: Let f_i, $i = 1 \dots, n$ be the coordinates of F. If a point $x \in X$ is regular, we must have $|\nabla_x f_i| = |\nabla_x(-f_i)| = 1$ for all i. On the other hand, such a point x is regular, due to Lemma 4.2 and the observations preceding Lemma 3.10. The statement now follows from Corollary 3.9. □

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

5.1. **The pseudometric.** The following statement is needed in the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be an $\mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$ space, H a Hilbert space and $F : X \to \mathbb{R}^n$ a normalized affine map. Then $\tilde{d} : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ given by*

$$\tilde{d}(y, z) = \sqrt{d(y, z)^2 - ||F(y) - F(z)||^2}$$

defines a pseudometric on X.

Proof. The proof works in the same way as the proof of [LS07] in the $\mathrm{CAT}(\kappa)$ case, since the first variation formula also holds in $\mathrm{Alex}^n(\kappa)$ spaces [Pl02, Sect. 3.6]. □

5.2. **Isometric embedding.** Given an affine normalized map $F : X \to H$ and the associated pseudometric $\tilde{d}$ on X by Proposition 5.1, let $Y = X/\tilde{d}$ be the induced metric space. A point in Y is an equivalence class $[x]$ where $x \sim x'$ if and only if $\tilde{d}(x, x') = 0$. The map $i : X \to Y \times H$, $x \mapsto ([x], F(x))$ is an isometric embedding. For a point $x \in X$ we define $Z_x = F^{-1}(F(x))$. We record further properties:

Lemma 5.2. *Let $F : X \to H$, $\tilde{d}$ and Y be given as above.*

(i) The space Y is geodesic and the projection $\pi : X \to Y$ is affine.
(ii) For any $x \in X$ the subsets $[x], Z_x \subset X$ are convex. The restriction $F_{|[x]} : [x] \to H$ is an isometric embedding.
(iii) For any $x \in X$ the subsets $[x], Z_x \subset X$ are Alexandrov spaces with curvature $\geq \kappa$.

Proof. (i) For a unit-speed geodesic γ in X set $\bar{A} = (F \circ \gamma)' \in H$ and $A = \sqrt{1 - \bar{A}^2}$. Then for all s, t we have $\tilde{d}([\gamma(s)], [\gamma(t)]) = A|s - t|$, i.e. γ is a geodesic with velocity A with respect to $\tilde{d}$ proving the claim.

(ii) Convexity follows, since F and π are affine. The second claim follows immediately.

(iii) By continuity of F both subsets $[x], Z_x \subset X$ are closed. As closed, convex subsets of the Alexandrov space X they are themselves Alexandrov spaces with the same curvature bound as X.

□

Let $o \in X$ be a point. Due to Lemma 2.1 the evaluation map E_o is affine and normalized. We consider the special case of $H = \mathcal{A}^*$ and $F = E_o : X \to \mathcal{A}^*$. Since the value of $||E_o(x) - E_o(y)||^2$ does not depend on the point o, neither does the pseudometric $\tilde{d}$ given by Proposition 5.1. Above we have seen that the space Y is geodesic and that

the embedding $i : X \to Y \times \mathcal{A}^*$, $x \mapsto ([x], E_o(x))$ is isometric. We are going to show that the data (Y, i) satisfy all properties listed in Theorem 1.3.

Property (a), (b) and the existence part of property (d) follow as in [LS07]. Suppose that $\bar{f}$ is a non-constant Lipschitz continuous affine function on Y. Since the projection $\pi : X \to Y$ is affine and 1-Lipschitz, $\bar{f}$ lifts to a non-constant Lipschitz continuous affine function f on X. Let x be a point in the interior of X, let γ be a quasigeodesic starting at x in the direction of the gradient of f and recall that $d_x f(\gamma^+(0)) = ||f||$ (cf. Section 3.3). Then, for small s, t we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)) \geq ||E_o(\gamma(s)) - E_o(\gamma(t))|| &= \sup_{||\tilde{f}||=1, \tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} |\tilde{f}(\gamma(s)) - \tilde{f}(\gamma(t))| \\ &= \sup_{||\tilde{f}||=1, \tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} d_x \tilde{f}(\gamma^+(0)) \cdot |s-t| = |s-t| \geq d(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)), \end{aligned}$$

that is, γ stays in $[x]$ for some time. This contradicts the fact that f is constant on fibers of the projection $\pi : X \to Y$ by construction and hence proves (c).

In view of proving Theorem 1.3 it remains to establish the statement on the dimension of $\mathcal{A}^*$, the openness statement in (e) and the uniqueness statements. This will be achieved in the subsequent sections.

5.3. **Local product structure.** The tangent cones of $[x], Z_x \subset X$ at a point $x \in X$ can be regarded as subsets of $T_x X$. Recall from Lemma 4.6 and Definition 4.5 that for a point x in the interior of X there is a splitting $T_x = C'_x \times H_x$ with H_x being isometric to $\mathcal{A}^*$ and the differential $d_x E_o$ being given by the projection to the second factor composed with an isometry.

Lemma 5.3. *For a point x in the interior of X we have*

(i) $T_x Z_x = C'_x$. In particular, $\dim Z_x = \dim X - \dim \mathcal{A}$.
(ii) $T_x[x] = H_x$. In particular, $\dim[x] = \dim \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Note that if γ is a curve through x in one of the convex subsets Z_x or $[x]$, then it has a well-defined tangent vector γ^+ at x as a curve in X if and only if it has a well-defined tangent vector γ^+ at x as a curve in Z_x or $[x]$ and that in this case the two tangent vectors coincide (cf. [Pet07, 2.1]). We represent directions at x by quasigeodesics γ starting at x.

(i) Suppose γ is a quasigeodesic in Z_x. Then its tangent vector at x is mapped to 0 by $d_x E_o$ and hence lies in C'_x. If γ is a quasigeodesic in X representing a direction in C'_x, then it is mapped to a point by E_o, since E_o is affine. This means that γ lies in Z_x and is thus tangent to Z_x.

(ii) Since E_o embeds the class $[x]$ isometrically into $\mathcal{A}^*$, any vector tangent to $[x]$ at x belongs to H_x. Conversely, suppose that $\gamma : [0, \epsilon) \to \operatorname{interior} X$ is a quasigeodesic with $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma^+(0) \in H_x$. For small s, t it follows that

$$||E_o(\gamma(s)) - E_o(\gamma(t))|| = ||d_x E_0(\gamma^+(0))|| \cdot |s-t| = |s-t| \geq d(\gamma(s), \gamma(t))$$

and hence $||E_o(\gamma(s)) - E_o(\gamma(t))|| = d(\gamma(s), \gamma(t))$, since E_o is 1-Lipschitz. We see that γ is a geodesic that stays in $[x]$ and that is thus tangent to $[x]$ at x. □

We obtain the following corollaries.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ be such that $B_r(x)$ is contained in the interior of X. Then $E_{o|[x]\cap B_r(x)} : [x] \cap B_r(x) \to B_r(F(x)) \subset H$ is an isometry.*

Proof. We already know that the map in question is an isometric embedding. Due to Lemma 5.3, (ii), for a point $z \in B_r(F(x)) - \{F(x)\}$ there exists a quasigeodesic γ starting at x tangent to $[x]$ such that $d_x E_o(\gamma^+(0))$ is parallel to $z - F(x)$. By assumption the restriction of γ to $[0, T]$, $T = ||z - F(x)||$, is contained in the interior of X. Hence, the proof of Lemma 5.3, (ii), moreover shows that the restriction of γ to $[0, T]$ is contained in $[x] \cap B_r(x)$. Since $E_{o|[x]\cap B_r(x)} \circ \gamma$ is a line, we have $E_o(\gamma(T)) = z$ and the claim follows. □

Corollary 5.5. *Let x be a point in the interior of X. Then the boundary of $[x]$ is contained in the boundary of X.*

In the sequel we show that a small neighborhood U of a regular point x in X has a product structure with factors $Z_x \cap U$ and $[y] \cap U$, $y \in Z_x \cap U$. To this end, we need the first inclusion of the following continuity statement. The other inclusion is needed later in form of Corollary 5.7 (cf. Lemma 5.10).

Lemma 5.6. *Let $x_i \in X$ be a sequence of points converging to a point x in the interior of X. Then for every radius $r > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$|[x] \cap \overline{B_r(x)}, [x_i] \cap \overline{B_r(x)}|_H < \epsilon \text{ for all } i \geq M.$$

Here $|\cdot|_H$ denotes the Hausdorff distance, cf. [BBI01].

Proof. Assume that there are points $y_i \in [x_i] \cap \overline{B_r(x)}$ such that $d(y_i, [x] \cap \overline{B_r(x)}) \geq \epsilon$ for all i. Without loss of generality $y_i \to y$ for some point $y \in \overline{B_r(x)}$. Then $d(y, [x] \cap \overline{B_r(x)}) \geq \epsilon$. On the other hand $\|F(x) - F(y)\| = \lim_{i\to\infty} \|F(x_i) - F(y_i)\| = \lim_{i\to\infty} d(x_i, y_i) = d(x, y)$. Hence $y \in [x]$, a contradiction. Therefore, for i large, $[x_i] \cap \overline{B_r(x)}$ is contained in an ϵ-tubular neighborhood of $[x] \cap \overline{B_r(x)}$.

Next we prove that every point in $[x]$ is a limit of points in $[x_i]$. Since the interior of X is open in X (cf. Section 2.2), there exists some $\rho > 0$ such that $B_{3\rho}(x)$ lies in the interior of X. Thus for large i also $B_{2\rho}(x_m)$ lies in the interior of X. By Corollary 5.4 this implies that $[x_i] \cap B_{2\rho}(x_i)$ is isometric to a m-dimensional Euclidean ball of radius 2ρ, $k = \dim \mathcal{A}$. By passing to a subsequence we may assume that $[x_i] \cap \overline{B_\rho(x_i)}$ converges to $[x] \cap \overline{B_\rho(x)}$ in Hausdorff topology. Now let z be a point in $[x]$ and let $[xz]$ be the minimizing geodesic between x and z. Choose a point z' on $(xz) \cap [x] \cap \overline{B_\rho(x)}$. Lift z' to points $z_i' \in [x_i] \cap \overline{B_\rho(x_i)}$. Assume that there exists $\tau > 0$ such that the maximal extension $[x_i y_i]$ of $[x_i z_i']$ has length $\leq d(x, z) - \tau$. Then y_i has to lie in the boundary of $[x_i]$ which by Corollary 5.5 is contained in the boundary of X. Without loss of generality $y_i \to y$ and $y \neq z$ by the triangle inequality. Then $y \in \partial X \cap (xz)$ and therefore $[xz] \subset \partial X$

contradicting the fact that geodesics that start in the interior do not pass boundary points in their interior (cf. Section 2.2).

Now assume that for every i there is a point $z_i \in [x] \cap \overline{B_r(x)}$ with $d(z_i, [x_i] \cap \overline{B_r(x)}) \geq \epsilon$. Then (z_i) subconverges to a point $z \in [x] \cap \overline{B_r(x)}$. From above we get that z is a limit of points $[x_i] \cap \overline{B_r(x)}$. This contradiction finishes the proof. □

Corollary 5.7. *Let $U \subset X$ be an open subset and set $\hat{U} := \pi^{-1}(\pi(U))$. Then the subset $\hat{U} \cap \operatorname{interior} X$ is open.*

Proof. Let x be a point in $\hat{U} \cap \operatorname{interior} X$ and (x_i) a sequence with $x_i \to x$. Choose a point $x' \in [x] \cap U$. By Lemma 5.6, there is a sequence (x_i') converging to x' and such that $x_i' \in [x_i]$ for large i. Therefore, for large i we have $x_i \in \hat{U} \cap \operatorname{interior} X$ and thus $\hat{U} \cap \operatorname{interior} X$ is open. □

Next we establish the local product structure around regular points.

Lemma 5.8. *Let x be a regular point in X. Then there exists some $r > 0$ such that for any $y \in B_r(x)$ there exists some $z \in Z_x$ such that $[y] \cap Z_x = \{z\}$.*

Proof. Since x is regular in X a small neighborhood U of x in X is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$. By Lemma 5.3 the point x is also regular in $Z_x \cap U$. Let D^k be a small open disk in Z_x around x whose closure is a closed disk contained in $Z_x \cap U$. The inclusion $\varphi : \overline{D}^k \to U$ is a topological embedding. By Corollary 5.4 we can choose U and D^k in such a way that $\bigcap_{y \in \overline{D}^k} F(U \cap [y])$ contains a closed disk $\overline{D}^m$ around $F(x)$ in H where $m = \dim H = n - k$. Set $\psi_y = ((F_{|[y]})^{-1})_{|\overline{D}^m} : \overline{D}^m \to U$. By Lemma 5.6 $D^k \ni y \mapsto \operatorname{im}(\psi_y)$ is continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric. Moreover, for all $y \in D^k$ we have $F \circ \psi_y = \mathrm{id}_{\overline{D}^m}$. Consider the map

$$\phi : D^k \times D^m \to U,\ (y, z) \mapsto \psi_y(z).$$

By shrinking D^k and D^m if necessary, we can assume that the image ϕ is contained in a compact neighborhood K of x with $K \subset U$. We claim that ϕ is continuous. Indeed, suppose (y_i, z_i) is a sequence in $D^k \times D^m$ converging to $(y, z) \in D^k \times D^m$ such that $\phi((y_i, z_i))$ does not converge to $\phi((y, z))$. We can assume that $\phi((y_i, z_i))$ converges to a point $p \in U$ distinct from $\phi((y, z))$. By continuity of $D^k \ni y \mapsto \operatorname{im}(\psi_y)$ we have $p \in \operatorname{im}(\psi_y)$. By continuity of F we have

$$F(p) = \lim_{i \to \infty} F(\phi((y_i, z_i))) = \lim_{i \to \infty} F(\psi_{y_i}(z_i)) = \lim_{i \to \infty} z_i = z = F((y, z)).$$

This contradicts the injectivity of F on $[y]$. Since the map ϕ is also injective, its image is open by the domain invariance theorem. The claim follows. □

Lemma 5.9. *For any $x \in X$ the image of Z_x under $\pi : X \to Y$ is closed.*

Proof. The restriction $\pi|_{Z_x}$ is an isometric embedding. The claim follows since $Z_x \subset X$ is closed. □

Lemma 5.10. *Let x be a regular point in X. Then there exists some $r > 0$ such that the map π restricts to an isometry $B_r(x) \cap Z_x \to B_r(\pi(x)) \subset Y$.*

Proof. Clearly the restriction of π is isometric. By Lemma 5.8 there is a radius $r > 0$ such that for any $y \in B_r(x)$ we have $[y] \cap Z_x \neq \emptyset$. Set $B := B_r(x)$ and define $\hat{B}$ as in Corollary 5.7. Assume that there exists a point p in $X - \hat{B}$ such that $d(\pi(x), \pi(p)) < r$. Choose $p' \in [xp]$ maximal such that $\pi([xp')) \subset \pi(Z_x)$. By Lemma 5.8, $p' \neq x$. By Lemma 5.9, $\pi(p')$ is contained in $\pi(Z_x)$. Set $q' := \pi|_{Z_x}^{-1}(\pi(p'))$. Then $[q'] = [p']$ and $d(x, q') = d(\pi(x), \pi(p')) \leq d(\pi(x), \pi(p)) < r$, since π is affine. It follows that $q' \in B$ and $p' \in \hat{B}$. In particular, $p' \neq p$. From the maximality, we conclude that $p' \in \partial X$, since $\hat{B} \cap \operatorname{interior} X$ is open by Corollary 5.7. This is a contradiction, since x lies in the interior of X and p' lies in the interior of $[xp]$ (cf. Section 2.2). Therefore, π restricts to an isometry $B_r(x) \cap Z_x \to B_r(\pi(x))$. □

The following corollary proves property (e) in Theorem 1.3.

Corollary 5.11. *Let x be a regular point in X. Then there exists some $r > 0$ such that the embedding $i : X \to Y \times \mathbb{R}^m$ restricts to an isometry $B_r(x) \to B_r(i(x)) \subset Y \times \mathbb{R}^m$.*

Proof. Choose $r > 0$ small enough such that the conclusion of Lemma 5.10 holds, such that $B_r(x)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$ and such that $B_r(x) \cap Z_x$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n-m}$. Then, from Lemma 5.10 we know that $\pi(B_r(x) \cap Z_x)$ is also homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n-m}$. Perhaps after decreasing r, the claim now follows from the invariance of domain theorem. □

5.4. **Curvature bound.** For $\kappa \geq 0$ the curvature bound for Y can be easily established similarly as in [LS07, 5.3] in the nonpositive curvature case. For general κ we argue as follows. The set of regular points in X is convex [Pet98, Corollary 1.10] and dense in X [BBI01, Corollary 10.9.13]. Let $Y_0 \subset Y$ be its image under π. By continuity of π this subset Y_0 is dense in Y. Moreover, by Lemma 5.10 and the existence of small convex neighborhoods in Z_x [Pe94, 3.6] (cf. [Pet07, 7.1.2]), each point in Y_0 has a neighborhood U in Y that is isometric to a convex subset of some Z_x. According to [Pet15] it follows that the completion of Y_0, and hence the completion of Y, is an $\mathrm{Alex}^m(\kappa)$ space with $m = \dim X - \dim \mathcal{A}$ by Lemma 5.10 and Lemma 5.3.

5.5. **Uniqueness statements.** It follows from property (e) and the fact that geodesics in the Alexandrov space $Y \times \mathbb{R}^m$ do not branch that the extension in Theorem 1.3, (d) is unique.

Suppose an embedding $i : X \to Y \times \mathbb{R}^m$ with the properties described in Theorem 1.3 is given. We identify $\mathbb{R}^m$ with its dual via the metric. This yields a natural homomorphism $\theta : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathcal{A}(X)$ which is surjective by property (b) and injective by property (e). In particular, the dimension m is an isometry invariant of X. Set $F = p_H \circ i : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and observe that F is an normalized affine map by property (e). Suppose that a point $o \in X$ is mapped to $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ by F. We claim that $F = E_o$. Indeed, let $x \in X$, let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be

a Lipschitz continuous affine function and let $\hat{f} : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ be given as in property (b). Then

$$\left\langle F(x), \theta^{-1}([f]) \right\rangle = \left\langle F(x), [\hat{f}] \right\rangle = \hat{f}(F(x)) - \hat{f}(0) = f(x) - f(o) = E_o(x)(f)$$

and so the claim follows since our choices were arbitrary. For $\pi = p_Y \circ i : X \to Y$ the metric on Y must satisfy

$$d(\pi(y), \pi(z)) = \sqrt{d(y,z) - ||F(y) - F(z)||}.$$

By property (a) the metric on Y is completely determined by this identity. More precisely, by Proposition 5.1 $\sqrt{d(y,z) - ||F(y) - F(z)||}$ defines a pseudometric on X with Y being the induced metric space. It follows that the data $i : X \to Y \times \mathbb{R}^m$ are determined by X and the properties stated in Theorem 1.3 up to isometry.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Vitali Kapovitch, Alexander Lytchak and Anton Petrunin for helpful comments and discussions on different aspects of Alexandrov geometry. The first named author was partly supported by a 'Kurzzeitstipendium für Doktoranden' by the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD).

References

[BIP10] I. Belegradek, S. Ivanov and A. Petrunin, *Domain invariance for Alexandrov spaces*, mathoverflow.net/questions/21512.

[AB05] S. Alexander and R. Bishop, *A cone splitting theorem for Alexandrov spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 218 (2005), 1–16.

[BBI01] D. Burago, Yu. Burago and S. Ivanov, *A course in metric geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 33, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[BGP92] Yu. Burago, M. Gromov and G. Perel'man, *A. D. Aleksandrov spaces with curvatures bounded below*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **47** (1992), no. 2(284), 3–51, 222; translation in Russian Math. Surveys **47** (1992), no. 2, 1–58.

[C36] S. Cohn-Vossen, *Totalkrümmung und geodätische Linien auf einfachzusammenhängenden offenen vollständigen Flächenstücken*, Rec. Math. [Mat. Sbornik] N.S., 1936, Volume 1 (43), Number 2, 139–164.

[I82] N. Innami, S*plitting theorems of Riemannian manifolds*, Compositio Math., 47 (1982), 237–247.

[EG92] L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy, *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.

[LS07] A. Lytchak and V. Schroeder, *Affine functions on* CAT(κ)*-spaces*, Math. Z. **255** (2007), no. 2, 231–244.

[Ma99] Y. Mashiko, *Affine functions on Alexandrov surfaces*, Osaka J. Math. Volume **36**, Number 4 (1999), 853–859.

[Ma02] Y. Mashiko, *A splitting theorem for Alexandrov spaces*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, vol. 204, no. 2 (2002), 445–458.

[Mi67] A. Milka, *Metric structure of one class of spaces containing straight lines*, Ukrain. Geom. Sbornik, 4 (1967), 43–48.

[OS94] Y. Otsu and T. Shioya, *The Riemannian structure of Alexandrov spaces*, J. Differential Geom. 39, no. 3 (1994), 629–658.

[Pe94] G. Ya. Perel′man, *Elements of Morse theory on Alexandrov spaces*. Algebra i Analiz 5 (1993), no. 1, 232–241, (Russian); translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 5 (1994), no. 1, 205–213

[PP95] G. Perel′man and A. Petrunin, *Quasigeodesics and gradient curves in Alexandrov spaces*, unpublished, 1995.

[Pet98] A. Petrunin, *Parallel transportation for Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below*. Geometric & Functional Analysis GAFA, Volume **8**, Issue 1, (1998), 123–148

[Pet07] A. Petrunin, *Semiconcave functions in Alexandrov's geometry*. Surveys in Differential Geometry, no. 92, **11**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, (2007), 137–201.

[Pet15] A. Petrunin, *A globalization for non-complete but geodesic spaces*. Math. Ann. (2015). doi:10.1007/s00208-015-1295-8

[Pl02] C. Plaut, *Metric spaces of curvature* $\geq k$, Handbook of Geometric Topology, pp 819–898, North-Holland, Amsterdam (2002).

[T64] V. A. Toponogov, *Spaces with straight lines*, AMS Transl., 37 (1964), 278–280.

Christian Lange, Mathematisches Institut der Universität zu Köln, Weyertal 86-90, 50931 Köln, Germany

E-mail address: clange@math.uni-koeln.de

Stephan Stadler, Mathematisches Institut der Universität München, Theresienstr. 39, 80333 München, Germany

E-mail address: stephan.stadler@math.lmu.de